1	DENNIS K. BURKE		
2	United States Attorney District of Arizona		
_	Evo A. DeConcini Courthouse		
3	405 West Congress St., Suite 4800		
	Tuscon, Arizona 85801-5040		
4	Telephone: (520) 620-7300		
5	ALEXIS V. ANDREWS		
	Trial Attorney, Tax Division		
6	U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 683, Ben Franklin Station		
7	Washington, D.C. 20044-0683		
	Telephone: (202) 307-6432		
8			
	Attorneys for the United States of America		
9		CTDICT COLIDT FOR THE	
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
		1111201111	
11	United States of America,		
	District	Civil No. 09-CV-444-PHX-SRB	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO	
		ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
l4	Maria D. Forman; Jimmy C. Chisum, as		
	Trustee for the DLP LT 13 Trust; and		
15	Arizona Department of Revenue,		
16	Defendants.		
	2 01011001101		
17		'	
	The United States of America, through	undersigned counsel, hereby responds to	
18			
19	the Court's Order to Show Cause (Doc. No. 33) as follows:		
	As stated in the United States' Motion to Strike Defendant DLP LT 13 Trust's		
20	The stated in the stated states in such to state beleficially believed in		
,	Answer and Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No.36), the Motion to Dismiss was improperly		
21	Glad on DID IT 12's babalt by Tweeter Flores	D Wild a mus so litigant Harrison	
22	filed on DLP LT 13's behalf by Trustee Elmer	r. viiu, a <i>pro se</i> iitigant. However, as a	

pro se litigant, Trustee Elmer P. Vild is not authorized to represent parties other than himself, and the Motion to Dismiss should be stricken from the record. See, e.g., Simon v. Hartford Life, Inc., 546 F.3d 661, 664-65 (9th Cir. 2008).

Furthermore, none of the arguments proffered in the Motion to Dismiss have any basis in law. Despite Trustee Elmer P. Vild's claim that the Complaint and Amended Complaint in this case were not signed (Mot. to Dismiss. at 1-2), both the original Complaint (Doc. No. 1) and the Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 12) bear the required signature. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a) and L.R. Civ. 5.5(g). DLP LT 13 Trust's "belief" that "there is no legal lawsuit before this Court" (Mot. to Dismiss at 2) is not a legal basis for dismissal.

Trustee Elmer P. Vild also takes issue with the naming of the United States as Plaintiff in this case and appears to argue that an entity may not be a party. (Mot. to Dismiss at 1-2). He offers no authority whatsoever for this startling assertion. However, to the extent that Trustee Elmer P. Vild seeks information about potential witnesses and deponents, the United States is required to disclose this information in the course of discovery. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26. The information sought by Trustee Elmer P. Vild is thus properly acquired through the course of discovery and not by way of a Motion to Dismiss or an order to "identify all live body complainants."

For the foregoing reasons, the Motion to Dismiss should not be granted.

2 5018819.1

1	Respectfully submitted this 28th day of December, 2009.		
2		DENNIS K. BURKE United States Attorney	
3		Š	
4	By:	<u>/s/ Alexis V. Andrews</u> ALEXIS V. ANDREWS	
5		U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 683	
6		Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044	
7		Attorneys for the United States	
8	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
9	It is hereby certified that service of the foregoing UNITED STATES' RESPONSE		
10			
11	TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE has been made this 28th day of December, 2009, by		
12	placing copies in the United States Mail addressed to the following:		
	Maria D. Forman	Denise Ann Faulk	
13	c/o 5640 E. Duane Lane Cave Creek, AZ 85331	Office of the Attorney General 1275 W Washington St	
14	Jimmy C. Chisum, 84388-008	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
15	Herlong-CA-Herlong-FCI	Elmer P. Vild	
16	Federal Correction Institution P.O. Box 800	989 S. Main St. #A-269	
17	Herlong, CA 96113	Cottonwood, AZ 86326	
	/s/ Alexis V. Andrews		
18		IS V. ANDREWS Attorney, Tax Division	
19	United	d States Department of Justice	
20			
21			
22			
_			

3 5018819.1